

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

AMERICAN SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., an)
Idaho corporation,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
CALIFORNIA ASSIGNMENTS LLC, a)
California limited liability company;)
DEVELOPMENT SPECIALISTS, INC., an)
Illinois corporation; and DOES 1 through 10,)
inclusive,)
)
Defendants.)
)

Case No.: 12-CV-06138-LHK

ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR
PLAINTIFF TO REPLY TO
DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO
MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY
JUDGMENT AND REQUESTING
CLARIFICATION

On March 22, 2013, the parties filed a stipulation jointly moving the Court for an extension of time for Plaintiff to file its reply to Defendants' Opposition for Partial Summary Judgment, from March 22, 2013 to March 29, 2013. ECF No. 38.

The Court notes that this is the fourth stipulation to extend time that the parties have filed on the day of the scheduled deadline. *See* ECF No. 23; ECF No. 28; ECF No. 32; ECF No. 38. Although the Court hereby extends time for Plaintiff to reply to Defendant's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment, further requests for extension of time for the pending motions will be disfavored. Any future requests in this case shall be filed at least 3 business days prior to the

1 deadline that the parties wish to reschedule, and shall comply with Northern District of California
2 Civil Local Rule 6.

3 The Court further notes that Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction was filed on
4 December 31, 2012, and is scheduled for hearing on April 18, 2013. ECF No. 5. Pursuant to Civil
5 Local Rule 7-3, any opposition or Statement of Nonopposition was due on January 14, 2013. N.D.
6 Cal. Civil L. Rule 7-3. The Court requests that Defendants state their position on the Preliminary
7 Injunction by March 28, 2013. This is not an invitation for Defendants to file an untimely
8 opposition.

9 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10 Dated: March 26, 2013

11 
12 LUCY H. KOH
13 United States District Judge
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28